

### **Presents**

### Title IX in 2024: From Pregnancy to Transgender Student Athletes

March 7, 2024 12:00 pm – 1:00 pm

Presenter: Helen "Nellie" Drew, Esq.

### Agenda

- I. Overview
  - A. The Law
  - B. Original Goal
  - C. Continuing Challenges
- II. The Proposed Regulations
  - A. A Swinging Pendulum
  - B. Title IX Proceedings & The Balance of Protections

### Agenda (continued)

- III. Parenting & LGBTQ Issues
  - A. Pregnancy, Lactation & Child Care
  - B. Paternity & Adoption
- IV. Transgender Issues
  - A. Transgender Student Protections Generally
  - B. Transgender Students & Athletes

I.
Overview
: The
Law 20
U.S.C.A.
1681

• An Amendment to the Civil Rights Act of 1964:

• "No person in the United States shall on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subject to discrimination under any educational program or activity receiving federal financial assistance."

### B. Original Goal:

• To redress past discrimination in athletics & promote equality of opportunity



### 1. Separate but equal?

### HOOVER V. MEIKLEJOHN

430 F.Supp. 164

(D. Colo. 1977)



## Class action - assoc rule banning women's participation in soccer

#### Reason for rule:

"inordinate injury risk" group of MDs classify soccer as contact sport due to risk of collisions of upper body when heading the ball





### Court:

- Agreed state action\*
- Agreed collision between male & female of = weights running at full speed more injurious to women because of physiology BUT
- "while males as a class tend to have an advantage in strength & speed over females as a class, the range of differences among individuals in both sexes is greater than the average differences between the sexes"

## So - here- any male can play . . .

BUT no female can, regardless of physical condition BLACK LETTER LAW:

### Traditional Equal Protection Framework:

- 1) If "fundamental right/interest denied/impaired" OR
- 2) If "suspect" classification (race)

Then STRICT SCRUTINY applies & need "Compelling" State interest

3) Otherwise, need only "rational relationship" to "legitimate" state objective

### Dicta: would be struck down

• Even under rational relationship standard

because gov't purpose in fielding soccer teams to enhance education & exclusion of girls to protect doesn't accomplish that goal;

• If purpose really to protect, arbitrary because no concern for males





## 2. What Does Title IX Apply TO?

- Educational programs or activities NOT community, such as Little League
- Receiving federal \$ led to "program-specific" vs. "institution-wide" issue



## a. Program-specific approach:

- Majority of lower courts followed this, so since few collegiate athletic departments received federal \$ directly, little application of Title IX
- <u>Grove City College v. Bell</u> 465 US 555 (1984) Supreme Court ratifies this approach
- <u>Held:</u> financial assistance received by students of private college which then went to financial aid department NOT received by college as a whole SO

As of 1984, Title IX only applies to financial aid department, not athletic department!

### b. Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987

- Congress overrides Reagan's veto to adopt institution-wide approach
- If ANY part of institution receives federal \$, all of the institution and its programs are subject to Title IX

Pub. L. No. 100-259, 102 Stat. 28

# Athletics - 10 Factors Test 1975 45 CFR

- 1. Accommodation of interests & abilities of both sexes
- 2. = equipment & supplies
- 3. Scheduling games &
   practices (midnight ice or ANY ice time!)
- 4. Travel & per diem
- 5. Opportunity to receive coaches & tutors

### 10 factors (cont.)

- 7. Locker rooms, practices & facilities
- 8. Medical & training facilities & services
- 9. Housing & dining facilities & services
- 10. Publicity





NOTE: OCR need only consider

• WHAT'S MISSING????????

## d. Sexual Discrimination & Assault

NEVER part of original purpose, but . . .

### Franklin v. Gwinnett Co. Public Schools

503 U.S. 60 (1992)

SCOTUS finds a private right of action for damages exists for individual student victim of sexual harassment and abuse by teacher



## C. Continuing Challenges: 1. Exemptions & Exceptions to Title IX:

### a. Statutory:

```
i. Religious institutions
w/ tenets not
    consistent w/ co-
    educational programs
ii. Boy/Girl Scouts
iii. Not-for-profit
    fraternities/sororities
iv. Beauty pageants (!)
```

## Exemptions & Exceptions to Title IX (cont.)

### b. Regulatory -Title IX n/a where:

- i. selection based upon competitive
  skill
- ii. contact sport defined as
  boxing, wrestling, rugby, ice hockey,
  football, basketball "& other sports
  the purpose or major activity of
  which involves bodily contact"
- SO ok in these sports to prohibit coed teams & field same sex teams



Must females be given an opportunit y to play?

- NO although no specific exception, the regs don't require inclusion of females on all teams, regardless of the sport OR require a female contact sport team absent a significant number of interested females
- SO it is possible for a woman to be totally excluded from a contact sport?
- YES! but Mercer v. Duke Univ. 190 F.3d 643 (4th Cir. 1999)
- If a woman is allowed to try out for a contact sport, must be treated equitably.

### So - is the NCAA subject to Title TX?



### No . . And Yes

- Because the NCAA, other athletic associations generally don't receive federal \$, they are NOT subject to Title IX
- BUT if they do get federal \$, even a bit, they are &
- The members are . . .



### Moreover . . .

- The NCAA was a major **opponent** of Title IX &
- Eventually attempted to have the Department of Health, Education & Welfare regulations implementing Title IX exempt revenue-producing intercollegiate sports!



- 2. Continuing Sexual Discrimination, Harassment & Assault on Campuses
- a. NOT ALONE report: 1/5 women experience attempted or actual physical sexual assault on campus 2014
- b. Jeanne Clery Act 20
  U.S.C. 1092(1) (2018) requires post-secondary
  institutions to keep records
  of crimes in and around
  campus and report them
  annually; also mandates
  timely warnings of crimes
  that threaten safety on
  campus



### BUT - c. Toxic Culture Cases continue









## A. A Swinging Pendulum

- 1975 Office of Civil Rights ("OCR") Regulations
- 1979 Department of Health, Education & Welfare ("HEW") Regulations
- 1996 Clarification
- 1998 Clarification
- 2002 President George W. Bush appoints Commission on Opportunity in Athletics

## And back again .

### 2003

- Report of Commission on Opportunity in Athletics &
- Minority Report of Commission
- Clarification Letter based upon (Majority) Report

### 2005

- Clarification Letter
- NCAA Resolution Objecting to 2005 Clarification Letter
- 2010 Policy Guidance Issued Rescinding Clarification Letter
- You get the idea . . .

1. A Policy Interpret ation: Title IX Intercoll egiate Athletics -1979

The [in]famous Three Part Test for athletic compliance is promulgated:

- 1) Whether intercollegiate participation opportunities are substantially proportionate to the enrollments of both sexes
- 2) Whether there has been a history & continuing practice of program expansion [for women]
- 3) Whether the interests & abilities [of women] have been fully & effectively accommodate

2. Clarificat ion of Intercolle giate Athletics Policy Guidance: The Three-Part Test

- Attempts to discount "safe harbor" of first prong and claims of unconstitutional quotas
- Declares that "effective accommodation" can occur in the context of females' "lack of interest" in sports
- See also, *Cohen v. Brown*, 101 F.3d 155 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1996), finding the Three-Part Test to be constitutional

Additional Clarificat ion of Intercolle giate Athletics Policy: Three-Part Test Part Three

Compliance will be found under Prong 3 UNLESS:

- 1) There is unmet interest sufficient to sustain a varsity team in the sport(s)
- 2) There is sufficient ability to sustain an intercollegiate team in the sport(s); and
- 3) There is a reasonable expectation of intercollegiate competition for a team in the sport(s) within the school's normal competitive region

### B. Title IX Proceedings & The Balance of Protections

People v. Turner 2018 WL 3751731 (2018)





White man raped an ancommous women in an allay read to where she worked. This is the image. Selvind a dumpoter. This is the image the media is

## 2. Neal v. Colorado State Univ.

2017 WL 633045 (2017)

Student-athlete sues school for gender bias in Title IX sexual assault proceedings;



Recission of 2011
Dear
Colleague
Letter

- 2017, AG Jeff Sessions rescinds letter
- Argument policy guidance measures have been used to avoid formal rule-making procedures
- Claimed "preponderance of the evidence" standard too low, resulting in adverse decisions against innocent respondents in Title IX proceedings

## 4. DeVos Era Regulatio ns 2020



- Redefines sexual harassment to conduct "so severe, pervasive & objectively offensive" that it "effectively denies" access to the program or activity
- Requires schools only to respond to formal complaints or if "actual knowledge"
- Provides option of using "clear & convincing" evidentiary standard instead of preponderance of the evidence
- Requires live hearings & cross-examination of witnesses
- Restricts application to school program-related locations IN US

Biden Era
Proposed
Regulation
s 2022 2024



- Expands definition of protections to sex stereotypes, pregnancy & related conditions, sexual orientation or sexual identity
- Adds hostile environment, lowering the bar from objectively severe, sustained & pervasive
- Expands application to schoolrelated locations abroad
- Requires "prompt & effective action" to address any indication of sexual harassment
- Requires preponderance of the evidence unless clear & convincing standard used for other proceedings
- Eliminates live hearings & crossexamination of witnesses

### III. PARENTING & LGBTQ+ ISSUES

A. Pregnancy, Lactation & Child Care

- Schools must allow pregnant students to participate in all programs & activities, including sports, if they choose, WITHOUT AN MD's NOTE
- Allow accommodations such as restroom breaks & elevator access
- Excused medical absences & support, such as at-home tutoring, as needed
- Provide lactation space
- Protect against harassment

NOTE: RELIGIOUS SCHOOLS EXEMPT TO EXTENT TITLE IX CONFLICTS W/ RELIGIOUS TENETS B.
PATERNITY
&
ADOPTION

"all parents, regardless of sex, should be provided with the same leave or accommodations for caretaking"

Any leave beyond pregnancyrelated disability (typically 4 weeks before delivery and 6-8 weeks afterward) MUST BE OFFERED ON GENDER-NEUTRAL BASIS

Student-athletes must be offered reinstatement to prepregnancy position

# TRANSGENDER ISSUES A. Transgender Student Protections Generally

2021 OCR Notice of Interpretation - Title IX to be interpreted consistently with Bostock v. Clayton Co. 140 S.Ct. 1731 (2020)

Title IX prohibits discrimination or harassment based upon gender identity & sexual orientation, including specifically:

"[those] who identify as male, female or nonbinary; transgender or cisgender; intersex; lesbian, gay, bisexual, queer, heterosexual, or in other ways" 86 Fed. Reg. 117 (6/22/21)

### BIG CAVEAT:

State of Tenn., et al. v. U.S. Dep't of Educ., No. 3:21- cv- 308 (E.D. Tenn.) (July 15, 2022)

TRO filed preventing Dept. of Education from enforcing Title IX pursuant to the OCR Notice in Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, Georgia, Idaho, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, South Carolina, South Dakota, and West Virginia

B.
Transgende
r Students
&
Athletics

Notice of Proposed Rule-Making 2023:

- 1. Would prohibit categorical prohibitions upon transgender athletic participation
- 2. Would allow gender eligibility rules if they:
- a. Serve an "important educational objective" AND
- b. Be "substantially related" to achieving that objective

## Gender-Based Eligibility Rules: CONTEXT

- Grade or Education Level
- Level of Competition
- Sport
- Harm Minimization



3.
Conflict
with
state
laws . .

